**Results**

* Lasso did a fair job predicting Fugl-Meyer, and a good job predicting wolf
* RF didn’t do well in prediction, but produced consistent rankings even with externally controlled cross-validation, suggesting that the ‘true’ relationship between the features and the outcomes is highly non-linear
* The error followed the expected chi-square distribution
* Fugl-Meyer predictions are worse since the measure itself is coarse
* Maximum Speed-related features were crucial for Fugl-Meyer models
* ‘Movement Effectiveness’ features and initial clinical scores were important for Wolf
* 5-6 features to reach good ROI R^2 for Fugl-Meyer
* 5-6 features to reach almost 100% R^2 for Wolf